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ABSTRACT
The 70% hydroethanolic extracts of Calotropis procera (CP) and Calotropis gigantea (CG) leaves have been evaluated and compared for anti-diarrheal activity using castor oil-induced diarrhea model in rats. Results showed statistically significant reduction in the frequency and severity of diarrhoea produced by castor oil in both CP and CG. The anti-diarrheal effect of CP was found superior to CG and inferior to loperamide. It is concluded that CP and CG possess marked anti-diarrheal activity supporting the folkloric claim that both plants are good remedies for acute non-specific diarrhoea.
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INTRODUCTION
Diarrhoea is characterized by an increase in the frequency of bowel movements, wet stool and abdominal pains. It is commonly caused by gastrointestinal infections which results in the death of around 1.8 million people globally each year. Generally, the treatment of diarrhoea is non-specific, and is usually aimed at reducing the discomfort and inconvenience of frequent bowel movements. Many synthetic chemicals like diphenoxylate, loperamide and antibiotics are available for the treatment of diarrhoea but sometimes provoke side effects and increases resistance to the common antibiotics. The need for newer, more effective, and most importantly, cheaper anti-diarrhoeal drugs has become a paramount issue to tackle this present situation.

Calotropis procera and Calotropis gigantea belonging to family Asclepiadaceae are widely growing plants and have been reported to possess number of medicinal properties. The leaves of these plants have been used in traditional or folk medicine as antipyretic, antioxidant, analgesic, abortifacient, hepatoprotective, antimicrobial, antimalarial, anticancer, and antifertility agents. Different parts of these plants are known for their anti-diarrheal activity, with different mode of actions. The present study is an effort to evaluate and compare the anti-diarrheal activity of hydroethanolic (70%) extracts of Calotropis procera (CP) and Calotropis gigantea (CG) leaves by castor oil-induced diarrhea model in rats. Phytochemical screening of plants extract has revealed the presence of numerous chemicals including alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, sterols, terpenes, carbohydrates, lactones, proteins, amino acids, glycosides, and saponins etc.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Experimental animals:
Animals were kept under standardized animal house conditions (temperature: 28-31°C, photoperiod: approximately 12 hrs. of natural light per day, relative humidity 50-55%) at the animal house of Rajiv Academy for Pharmacy, Mathura and provided with food and domestic tap water ad libitum. All animal experiments were conducted according to ethical guidelines of the committee of institute for Control and Supervision of Experiments on animals (Registration no. IAEC/RAP/2978/2010).

Plant Material:
Fresh Calotropis procera and Calotropis gigantea leaves were collected from the medicinal garden of Rajiv Academy for Pharmacy, Mathura, U.P., India and compared with a voucher specimen maintained in the herbarium of NISCAIR, New Delhi. The leaves were cleaned by washing with running water and shade dried and then milled to coarse powder by mechanical grinder.

Preparation of extracts:
The leaf powders of both plants (2.0 kg each) were extracted by maceration for seven days with 70% ethanol (3 x 2.5 lit.) at room temperature and the combined hydroethanolic extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure separately to yield CP (60 g) and CG (67 g) as brownish green viscous residue.

Castor oil-induce Model:
Wistar albino rats (210-230 g) both males and females were used, after overnight food deprivation. For the experiment, the rats were housed in individual metabolic cages. The potential anti-diarrheic agents were administered orally in 125 mg/kg and 250 mg/kg doses in normal saline. Loperamide was taken as reference standard drug. Controls received the normal saline only. Each dose was given to 8 animals. One hour after dosage, 1 mL of castor oil was administered orally. Stools were collected on non-wetting paper sheets of uniform weight up to 8 hrs after administration of the castor oil. Every 15 min during the first 8 hrs, urine was drained off by gravity, and the net stool weight, termed as early diarrheal excretion, was recorded. The diarrhea-free period is defined as the time in minutes between castor oil administration and the occurrence of the first diarrheal output (latent period). Observation for defecation continued up to 8 hrs. on filter paper placed beneath the individual perforated rat cages. This paper was replaced every hour after noting its weight (M2). Finally, the filter paper was exposed in the laboratory for drying and it was reweighed (M3). The fecal water content was calculated as (M2-M1) g. The presence of characteristic diarrheal dropping with CP and CG was noted (Table: 1).

Statistical analysis
The experimental results are expressed as Mean ±S.E.M., with eight animals in each group. Statistical significance test were performed by Student’s t-test. P values less than 0.001 and 0.01 were considered as significant.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
The results of anti-diarrheal activity using castor oil-induced diarrhea model in rats showed that 70% hydroethanolic
extracts of *Calotropis procera* (CP) and *Calotropis gigantea* (CG) leaves dose dependently and markedly decreased the number of faecal boluses produced in the castor oil-induced diarrhoea test and also improved the severity of the diarrhoeal condition. The latent periods were also observed to increase with increase in drug dose. This is an interesting and therapeutically important finding which also provides scientific evidence in support of the claim that these plants are effective against acute nonspecific diarrheas. Further anti diarrhoeal potential of CP was superior to CG and inferior to the reference anti diarrhoeal drug, loperamide (evaluated in the castor oil-induced diarrhoea test). Anti diarrhoeal effect of CP and CG had a rapid onset and a fairly long duration of action (upto 8 hrs.). Further, the anti diarrhoeal action of CP and CG were dose dependent indicating phytoconstituents mediated genuine and specific action. CP and CG contains a variety of phytoconstituents of which flavonoids and alkaloids could be attributed to exhibit anti diarrhoeal potentials. It is now known that prostaglandins are involved in castor oil-induced diarrhoea and prostaglandin synthesis inhibitors impair castor oil-induced diarrhoea. CP and CG inhibit castor oil-induced diarrhoea in this study. Thus, it is possible that CP and CG produced its anti diarrhoeal activity by inhibiting gastrointestinal prostaglandin synthesis.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study, scientifically demonstrated anti diarrhoeal activity of 70% hydroethanolic extracts of *Calotropis procera* and *Calotropis gigantea* leaves and justify the traditional use of these plants in the management of diarrhoea. No death and no signs of abnormal behavior were observed in the rats treated with CP and CG and could be useful for the development of new anti diarrhoeal drugs. However, further pharmacological and toxicological studies will be necessary.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Latent period (min)</th>
<th>Mean defecation</th>
<th>Mean number of wet faeces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saline control</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>50.00±5.35</td>
<td>18.16±1.82</td>
<td>13.67±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loperamide</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>260.00±15.68*</td>
<td>6.34±0.31*</td>
<td>3.93±0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>181.50±9.88*</td>
<td>12.57±0.43**</td>
<td>9.80±0.67**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>245.10±23.56**</td>
<td>8.83±0.90*</td>
<td>5.36±0.43**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>167.14±11.65*</td>
<td>15.34±0.89*</td>
<td>11.50±0.28**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>204±9.77*</td>
<td>10.45±0.33*</td>
<td>7.33±0.63**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the values are given as Mean ± SEM; N=8; *P<0.01 & **P<0.001 as compared to control.