# Journal of Pharmaceutical and Scientific Innovation



www.jpsionline.com

## **Research Article**

# UV-SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ESTIMATION OF ACYCLOVIR IN BULK AND PHARMACEUTICAL DOSAGE FORMS

Narayana Raju Padala<sup>1</sup>, Dey Baishakhi<sup>2</sup>, Fathi H Assaleh<sup>3</sup>, Prakash Katakam<sup>3,4</sup>\* and Babu Rao Chandu<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>KLR College of Pharmacy, Khammam, India <sup>2</sup>School of Medical Science and Technology, IIT Kharaghpur, India <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Zawia, Zawia, Libya <sup>4</sup>Nirmala College of Pharmacy, Guntur, India \*Corresponding Author Email: pkatakam9@gmail.com **DOI:** 10.7897/2277-4572.02451 Published by Moksha Publishing House. Website www.mokshaph.com All rights reserved.

Received on: 12/07/13 Revised on: 20/08/13 Accepted on: 25/08/13

#### ABSTRACT

Analytical method development being a vital part of pre formulation-formulation research and development obviates the need to develop reliable, effective, eco friendly and cost effective methodologies for routine analysis of active pharmaceutical ingredients. UV spectroscopy is one of the earliest, yet of wide applications in drug analysis in different stages of formulations and quality control; despite the availabilities of sophisticated chromatographic techniques and other hyphenated techniques. Current research attempts to develop simple, sensitive, accurate, precise and economical UV spectrophotometric methods for the routine analysis of acyclovir in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms using two separate alkaline media, 0.1N NaOH (method A) and 0.1N KOH (method B) and validate them as per ICH guidelines. In both the methods maximum absorbance was observed at 264 nm. Beer's law was obeyed in the concentration of 2.5-40 µg / mL in method A and 2.5-30 µg / mL in method B with correlation coefficient of 0.999. The % recovery carried out by adding known amount of standard drug to pre-analyzed tablet solutions was 98.75  $\pm$  0.52 % to 99.78  $\pm$  0.69 % (method A) and 98.55  $\pm$  0.31 % to 99.78  $\pm$  0.22 % (method B). Intra and interday precision expressed in % RSD were 0.38  $\pm$  0.01 and 0.27  $\pm$  0.02 - 0.44  $\pm$  0.01 respectively and the percent purity was 99.85  $\pm$  0.05 %. The methods were validated statistically as per ICH guidelines and the results obtained were within the acceptance criteria for the parameters relating to linearity, accuracy, precision.

Keywords: Acyclovir, dosage forms, UV spectrophotometric, alkaline medium, validation.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Development of a properly validated, stability indicating, specific analytical method for the routine analysis of drugs is of imperative necessity since the design of the drug delivery system is related to it. Analytical method development is an integral part of pre formulation and formulation development research<sup>1</sup>. Sophisticated chromatographic methods with HPLC, HPTLC which are being employed for analysis are relatively expensive; many methods necessitate analyte extraction from respective sample matrices and hence complicated sample preparation steps, use of internal standards for analysis thus increasing the time required and error in recovery<sup>2</sup>. Hence there is always a need to develop a simple, sensitive, cost effective and less time consuming method for the selected drug to aid during various steps of formulation design. UV-vis spectrophotometric method is one of the earliest, yet easy, sensitive, relatively cost effective method applied for drug estimations in both small and large scale RandDs<sup>1-3</sup>. Acyclovir (ACV), chemically known as 9-[(2-hydroxyethoxy) methyl] guanine is a purine nucleoside analog active against Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) both type 1 and type 2 and marketed under trade names like Zovirax, Zovir (GSK), Acivir, Axovir, Herpex etc. ACV is official in USP and BP, very selective in action and low in cytotoxicity (Figure 1)<sup>1-3</sup>. ACV interacts with HSV thymidine kinase and DNA polymerase and inhibits viral DNA synthesis. Cellular enzymes convert ACV to ACV-triphosphate which competitively inhibits viral DNA polymerase and acts as a chain terminator when incorporated into viral DNA. ACV causes suicide inactivation when the terminated DNA template containing ACV binds the viral DNA polymerase leading to its irreversible inactivation<sup>3-5</sup>. Literature surveys have reported some HPLC and immunoassay techniques,

specctrofluorometry and UV-spectrophotometric techniques for the analytical estimation of ACV<sup>1,6-9</sup>. Some of the spectrophotometric methods are based on oxidative-coupling reactions using mostly ceric ammonium sulphate or potassium persulfate as oxidizing reagents followed by coupling with 3-methylbenzothiazoline 2-one hydrazone (MBTH reagent) to form colored chromogens detectable at 630 nm. Another method reported oxidative-coupling reaction with MBTH in presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> and the deep green colored species detectable at 616 nm<sup>3,10-12</sup>. One method also mentioned about the reaction of ACV with metals like Cu (II) and Co (II) using borax or NaOH buffers in nonaqueous media and the absorbance's of the formed complexes measured at 290 nm<sup>3</sup>. The objective of the current study was to develop a simple, accurate UV-method in two separate alkaline media for the routine estimation of ACV with minimum processing steps.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Chemicals

Acyclovir was a kind gift sample from Torrent Pharma Ltd., Ahmedabad. NaOH and KOH (AR grades) and acyclovir tablets were purchased from local market. All reagents were prepared a fresh with double distilled water.

#### Instrumentation

All the spectral and absorbance measurements were made on an ELICO SL-59, UV-VIS spectrophotometer by using 1 cm match quartz cells.

#### **Method Development**

An accurately weighed amount of acyclovir (pure and tablet powder) equivalent to 100 mg was dissolved 100 mL of 0.1N

NaOH (method A) and 100 mL of 0.1 N KOH (method B) and further dilutions were made with 0.1 N NaOH and 0.1 N KOH in method A and method B respectively. A series of standard solutions containing 2.5-40  $\mu$ g / mL of acyclovir were prepared in 0.1 N NaOH and a series of standard solutions containing 2.5-30  $\mu$ g / mL of acyclovir were prepared in 0.1 N KOH and absorbances were measured at 264 nm against reagent blank. Recovery studies were carried out by adding a known quantity of pure drug to the pre-analyzed formulation and following the same methodology. Percentage recovery was calculated from the amount of drug found.

#### **Method Validation**

Method validation is the process of establishing documented evidence to provide a high degree of assurance that a specific activity will consistently produce a desired result or product meeting its predetermined specifications and quality characteristics. The analytical method development of ACV in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms was validated in terms of accuracy, intra and inter day precision, linearity and percent recovery<sup>13,14</sup>.

#### Linearity

Appropriate concentrations of stock solutions were assayed as per the developed methods. Beer-Lambert's concentration ranges were found to be 2.5-40  $\mu$ g / mL in (method A) and 2.5-30 in (method B).

#### Accuracy

Accuracy was determined by performing recovery studies on marketed formulations (tablets) and for prepared solutions containing known amount of drug by standard addition method in which standard drug were added at three different concentration levels (80 %, 100 %, 120 %) to pre analyzed samples as per ICH guidelines. The recovery studies were carried out in triplicates at each level.

### Precision (intraday and inter day)

Precision was demonstrated by intraday and inter day variation studies. Intraday precision was determined by taking different solutions of same concentration (5  $\mu$ g / mL) and analyzed thrice a day, results indicated by % RSD. In the

inter day study solutions of same concentration (5  $\mu$ g / mL) were prepared, analyzed and results presented as % RSD.

#### **Drug Content Estimation in Formulations**

ACV content in the marketed formulations was estimated by this method. Twenty tablets were weighed; the mean weight was determined and finely powdered. An accurately weighed tablet powder equivalent to its labeled amount (200, 400 or 800 mg) of ACV was transferred into 100 mL volumetric flask containing either 0.1 N KOH or NaOH and sonicated for 10 minutes. After achieving complete solubility of the drugs, the volume was made up to the mark using the alkali solution. The resulting solution was filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter. From the filtrate, a 5 mL of solution was transferred into 10 mL volumetric flask and volume was made up to mark with alkali solution to obtain the concentration of 25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> ACV which were then subjected to proposed methods and the amount of ACV was determined using calibration curves using the two developed methods.

#### **Recovery Studies**

To further validate the accuracy of the method developed, analytical recovery studies were performed by adding known amount of pure drug to pre-analyzed samples of the tablet formulations with 2, 10 and 20  $\mu$ g / mL concentration range. Percent analytical recovery values were obtained by comparison between concentrations obtained from spiked samples against actual added concentrations (2, 10 and 20  $\mu$ g / mL) covering the specified range.

#### RESULTS

Calculation of percent purity  
% purity = 
$$\frac{A}{A}$$
%1*cm* ×  $\frac{1}{100}$  ×  $\frac{250}{wt \ .taken}$  ×  $\frac{50}{5}$  ×  $\frac{50}{5}$   
% purity =  $\frac{\text{amount present}}{\text{dose of tablet}}$  × 100

The percent purity of the drug was found to be  $99.85 \pm 0.05$  %. Data for optical characteristics and linearity of ACV are presented in Table 1 and the calibration curve of ACV for method A and method B in Figure 2.

| Parameters  | Method A                | Method B                |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Maximum absorbance ( $\lambda_{max}$ , nm)                            | 264                     | 264                     |
| Beer's law limits ( $\mu g / mL$ )                                    | 2.5-40 μg / mL          | 2.5-30 μg / mL          |
| Sandell's sensitivity ( $\mu g / cm^2 / 0.001$ absorbance unit)       | 0.01930                 | 0.01780                 |
| Molar extinction coefficient (L mole <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | 1.156 x 10 <sup>4</sup> | 1.257 x 10 <sup>4</sup> |
| % Range of error  |                         |                         |
| 0.05 confidence limits  | 0.24750                 | 0.26422                 |
| 0.01 confidence limits  | 0.37594                 | 0.39091                 |
| Correlation coefficient (r)   | 0.9998                  | 0.9996                  |
| Regression equation (Y <sup>*</sup> )                                 |                         |                         |
| Slope (a)   | 0.0518                  | 0.5657                  |
| Intercept (b)   | -0.00114                | -0.00242                |

Table 1: Optical Characteristics and Linearity of Acyclovir

 $Y^* = b + aC$ , where C is concentration in  $\mu g / mL$  and Y is absorbance unit.

Table 2: Estimation of Acyclovir from its Pharmaceutical Formulations

| Sample   | Label Amount | Amount obtained (mg) |                 | nount Amount obtained (mg) % Recovery by proposed me |                  | v proposed method |
|----------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|-------------------|
|          | (mg)         | Method A             | Method B        | Method A   | Method B         |                   |
| Tablet1  | 200          | $197.5 \pm 0.9$      | $197.1 \pm 0.5$ | $98.75 \pm 0.52$                                     | $98.55 \pm 0.31$ |                   |
| Tablet 2 | 400          | $393.0 \pm 1.2$      | $396.2 \pm 1.7$ | $98.25 \pm 0.35$                                     | $99.05 \pm 0.19$ |                   |
| Tablet 3 | 800          | $798.3 \pm 1.6$      | $796.9 \pm 1.8$ | $99.78\pm0.69$                                       | $99.78 \pm 0.22$ |                   |

| Sample No. (%) | Conc (µg / mL) | Pure drug | % Recovery | Statistical mean | Standard deviation (± SD) | % Recovery SD |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| S1:80          | 10             | 6         | 0.0681     | 0.0681           | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$      | 0.15          |
| S2:80          | 10             | 6         | 0.0682     |                  |                           |               |
| S3:80          | 10             | 6         | 0.0680     |                  |                           |               |
| S4:100         | 10             | 8         | 0.0821     | 0.0820           | $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$      | 0.19          |
| S5:100         | 10             | 8         | 0.0822     |                  |                           |               |
| S6:100         | 10             | 8         | 0.0819     |                  |                           |               |
| S7:120         | 10             | 10        | 0.0979     | 0.0977           | $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$      | 0.16          |
| S8:120         | 10             | 10        | 0.0978     |                  |                           |               |
| S9:120         | 10             | 10        | 0.0976     |                  |                           |               |

Table 3: Table for Accuracy Data of Acyclovir

| Sample No. (5 µg / ml) | Abs 1  | Abs 2  | Abs 3  | Average % RSD |
|------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------------|
| S1                     | 0.0424 | 0.0425 | 0.0424 | 0.13          |

Table 4: Table for Interday Precision of Acyclovir

| 51    | 0.0424 | 0.0425 | 0.0424 | 0.15 |
|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| S2    | 0.0426 | 0.0425 | 0.0425 | 0.13 |
| S3    | 0.0423 | 0.0423 | 0.0424 | 0.14 |
| S4    | 0.0427 | 0.0426 | 0.0427 | 0.13 |
| S5    | 0.0422 | 0.0423 | 0.0424 | 0.23 |
| S6    | 0.0424 | 0.0424 | 0.0425 | 0.14 |
| % RSD | 0.44   | 0.28   | 0.27   |      |
|       |        |        |        |      |



Figure 1: Structure of Acyclovir



The values obtained for the determination of acyclovir in tablet formulations by the proposed method were provided in Table 2. To evaluate the validity and reproducibility of the methods known amounts of pure drug was added to previously analyzed pharmaceutical preparations and the mixtures analyzed by proposed method and the percent recoveries are given in Tables 2. Results of validation studies relating to accuracy, precision (intra- and inter day) are presented in Tables 3 and 4. For intraday precision studies average of 8 samples were taken with mean value ( $0.0424 \pm 0.02$ ), SD values ( $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and % RSD ( $0.38 \pm 0.01$ ). The relationship amongst different RSD values i.e. RSD amongst samples and RSD amongst different absorbances are presented in Table 4.

#### DISCUSSION

The purpose of the current research was to develop an economical, easy, time saving, reliable UV spectroscopic method for the routine estimation of ACV in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations. As per the developed methods, Beer's law was obeyed in the concentration range of 2.5-40  $\mu$ g / mL in (method A) and 2.5-30.0  $\mu$ g / mL in (method B) with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.9998 and 0.9996 for methods A and B respectively, indicating a good linearity of the developed methods. Sandell's sensitivity of 0.01930  $\mu$ g /

Figure 2: Calibration Curves of Acyclovir for Developed Methods A and B

 $cm^2 / 0.001$  (method A) and 0.01780 µg /  $cm^2 / 0.001$  (method B) absorbance units showed that the methods was quite sensitive. Method validations followed by statistical analysis were done as per ICH guidelines<sup>13,14</sup>. The percentage recovery studies carried out by adding known amount of standard drug to pre-analyzed tablet solutions were 98.75  $\pm$ 0.52 % to  $99.78 \pm 0.69 \%$  (method A) and  $98.55 \pm 0.31 \%$  to  $99.78 \pm 0.22$  % (method B). Accuracy studies carried out with different concentrations levels (80, 100 and 120 %) showed the percentage recovery SD values of 0.15-0.19 %. Results of the intra- and interday precision studies expressed in % RSD were  $0.38 \pm 0.01$  and  $0.27 \pm 0.02 - 0.44 \pm 0.01$ ; respectively<sup>10-14</sup>. Thus the developed methods were found sensitive, accurate, precise and reproducible and could be used for the routine estimations of acyclovir in bulk and pharmaceutical formulations. Moreover the methods are very simple, use of analytical grade lab reagents makes it more cost effective, doesn't require any sample pre treatment or any complicated methods of sample preparation and expected to be beneficial in small and large analytical and formulation RandDs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Torrent Pharmaceutical, Ahmedabad, India for providing gift samples of acyclovir. The authors are also grateful to Nirmala

College of Pharmacy, Mangalagiri, Guntur, AP, India for providing necessary facilities to carry out the research work.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Roy A, Yohannan D, Lalitha K, Saha RN. Development of rapid UV spectrophotometric methods for estimation of celecoxib and acyclovir in formulations. Indian J Pharm Educ Res 2008; 42(3): 215-21.
- Pant P, Saradhi V, Felice SC, Gurung B, Divya VG, Rao NM. Spectrophotometric determination of acyclovir through oxidative coupling of with 2, 2'-Bipyridine by Horsradish peroxidase (HRP). J Appl Chem Res 2009; 10: 7-12.
- Reddy SA, Chakraborty R, Sen S, Parameshappa B. Spectrophotometric determination and validation of Acyclovir. Arch Appl Sci Res 2011; 3(1): 328-32.
- Frederick GH. In: Brunton LL, Lazo JS, Parker KL (eds.) Goodman and Gilman's. The Pharmacological basis of Therapeutics, 11<sup>th</sup>ed McGraw Hills Medical Publishing Division, New York; 2006.
- Tripathi KD. Essentials of Medical Pharmacology, 6<sup>th</sup>ed, Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers, New Delhi; 2008. http://dx. doi.org/10.5005/jp/books/10282
- Caamano MM, Garcia LV, Elorza B, Chantres JR. Improved RPLC determination of acyclovir using hexylamine as silanol masking agent. J Pharm Biomed Anal 1999; 21(3): 619-24. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /S0731-7085(99)00166-1
- 7. Vo HC, Henning PA, Leung DT, Sacks SL. Development and validation of a plasma assay for acyclovir using high performance capillary

electrophoresis with sample stacking. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 2002; 772(2): 291-97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1570-0232(02)00116-2

- Fernandez M, Sepulveda J, Aranquiz T, Von Plessing C. Technique validation by liquid chromatography for the determination of acyclovir in plasma. J Chromatogr B Analyt technol Biomed Life Sci 2003; 791(1-2): 357-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1570-0232(03)00252-6
- Susantakumar P, Gaur A, Sharma P. Development and validation of LC-MS/MS method for the estimation of acyclovir in pharmaceutical formulation. Pharmacophore 2011; 2(4): 210-24.
- Gandhi P, Momin N, Kharade S, Konapure NP, Kuchekar BS. Spectrophotometric estimation of acyclovir in pharmaceutical dosage forms. Indian J Pharm Sci 2006; 68(4): 516-17. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.4103/0250-474X.27833
- 11. Lakshmi AV. Spectrophotometric method for the estimation of acyclovir in bulk and tablet dosage forms. Int J Biol Pharm Res 2013; 4(1): 23-26.
- Dongare US, Chemate SZ, Jadhav SA, Pawar VR. Spectrophotometric determination and validation of acyclovir in tablet dosage form. Int J Pharm Tech Res 2012; 4(4): 1840-45.
- International conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for the Registration of Pharmaceuticals for human use. ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline, Validation of Analytical Procedures: text and methodology. ICH-Q2(R1)Geneva; 2005.
- 14. United States Pharmacoepia (USP). 24<sup>th</sup>ed United States Pharmacopoeial Convention Inc., Rockvile, USA; 2000. p. 748a and 2149b.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared

| QUICK RESPONSE CODE | ISSN (Online) : 2277 –4572           |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                     | Website<br>http://www.jpsionline.com |

How to cite this article:

Padala Narayana Raju, Dey Baishakhi, Katakam Prakash, Babu Rao Chandu and Adiki Shanta Kumari. UV-spectrophotometric estimation of acyclovir in bulk and pharmaceutical dosage forms. J Pharm Sci Innov. 2013; 2(4): 40-43.